

Office of Policy and Management
Responses to Questions from the General Government B Subcommittee
of the Appropriations Committee
February 25, 2026

1. Please provide detail regarding the Other Expenses appropriation moving to OPM from the Office of Health Strategy (OHS).

OPM Response: Please see attached Exhibit 1 for a breakdown of OHS Other Expenses for both the General Fund and the Insurance Fund proposed for transfer to OPM.

**Exhibit 1: OHS Other Expenses - Proposed for Transfer to OPM
Budget By Account Code**

Insurance Fund					General Fund	
Account Code	Description	All Payer Claims Database (APCD) Budget	Benchmark	Health Information Exchange (HIE)	Health Information Exchange (HIE)	Details
50790	Out-Of-State Travel	\$ 5,000.00		\$ 14,000.00		
50800	Mileage Reimbursement			\$ 1,000.00		
51780	Membership Dues	\$ 3,500.00				National Association of Health Data Organizations (NAHDO) Membership
51970	Temporary Services			\$ 75,000.00		Temp Staffing
53715	IT Consultant Services	\$ 142,000.00		\$ 310,000.00		Covendis IT Consultant Services support project management, coordination, and development activities. These services include technical development expertise and managing initiatives such as HIE, APCD, and smaller-scale projects under ARPA.
53717	IT Managed Services	\$ 50,000.00				CMS Data Purchase for APCD
53720	IT Data Services	\$ 870,000.00				Onpoint provides IT implementation and operational support for Connecticut's APCD, which contains data on more than 1 billion claims. Services include database architecture, development, and operations support, as well as required upgrades, migrations, and SSIS/SSRS development for both the APCD and its consumer-facing overview tool, APCD Snapshot.
53755	IT Software Licenses/Rental	\$ 2,000.00		\$ 2,000.00		
54060	General Office Supplies	\$ 525.00	\$ 525.00	\$ 525.00		
51230	Management Consultant Services					
	Bailit		\$ 320,000.00			Provides analytical, strategic, and administrative support for the Benchmark Initiative, helping the state meet statutory requirements and advance healthcare goals. Their work includes analyzing cost growth, promoting alternative payment models and primary care investment, developing and tracking quality benchmarks, improving data transparency, preparing public and statutory reports, and convening key stakeholder groups. These efforts aim to reduce healthcare costs, improve access, enhance quality, and promote equity.
	Cedarbridge			\$ 200,000.00		Provides support for Connecticut's statewide health information technology planning by supplementing state staff with expertise in research and healthcare analysis. Services include literature reviews, market assessments, and collaborative preparation of statutorily required reports. The team monitors national trends, legislative developments, and best practices to inform state planning, while offering access to technical specialists in multiple health IT domains. This work helps Connecticut align with federal mandates and industry standards.
	Health Information Alliance "Connie"			\$ 6,800,921.00	\$ 1,157,213.00	Provides implementation and operational support for Connecticut's Health Information Exchange, enabling the secure and confidential exchange of health information among healthcare organizations such as doctors' offices, hospitals, labs, and radiology centers to ensure seamless care coordination. Authorized by state statute, its primary purpose is to promote interoperability that improves the quality, safety, and value of healthcare delivery for providers, organizations, and residents across Connecticut.
	Mathematica	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00			Provides analytic services using OHS data sources such as the APCD, hospital discharge data, outpatient surgical center data, hospital financial data, and other publicly available datasets. The work supports ongoing monitoring and analysis of Connecticut's healthcare system to inform policy decisions, track trends, and improve transparency.
	Richard Gold			\$ 50,000.00		Provides legal and consulting support to OHS for developing policies, procedures, and regulations governing Connecticut's statewide HIE. The work includes advising on regulatory frameworks, ensuring compliance with statutory requirements, and guiding the creation of rules that promote secure, efficient, and equitable health data exchange.
Total OHS OE to OPM		\$ 1,273,025.00	\$ 520,525.00	\$ 7,453,446.00	\$ 1,157,213.00	

Total OHS Other Expenses:	\$ 9,246,996.00	\$ 1,157,213.00
OHS Insurance Fund OE Transfer to OPM:	\$ 9,246,996.00	
OHS General Fund OE Transfer to OPM	\$ 1,157,213.00	

2. Please provide a list of grants moved from Other Expenses to the Various Municipal Grants account.

OPM Response: There are six (6) legislatively directed grants appropriated to OPM in FY 2027 pursuant to Sec. 1 of P.A. 25-168, and as described on page 74 of the [Office of Fiscal Analysis FY26 - FY27 Budget Book](#). The Governor proposes to reallocate these funds from the Other Expenses account to a new appropriation named “Various Municipal Grants.” The 6 grants are as follows:

Provide Funding for Aging in Place Grants in Bloomfield	\$100,000
Provide Funding for Middletown Public Works	\$ 10,000
Provide Funding for Avon DPW Facility Upgrade	\$ 514,000
Provide Funding for Fairfield PFAS Study	\$200,000
Provide Funding for Gardner Lake Security and Safety	\$ 50,000
Provide Funding for Meriden Town Clerk	\$ 25,000
Total	\$899,000

Additionally, there are two grants totaling \$1.6 million proposed for realignment from OPM’s General Fund Other Expenses appropriation to the Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund. The enacted budget included \$1.6 million for additional tax loss grants to the towns of Ledyard and Montville. The funds are realigned from OPM’s General Fund OE to the Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund (MPMF). A commensurate revenue shift is also proposed to help support the increased requirements in the MPMF, and there is no resulting decrease in any town’s funding from the MPMF.

3. Please provide an explanation of the Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund.

OPM Response: The Mashantucket Pequot/Mohegan Fund annually distributes grants to municipalities eligible for a state grant in lieu of taxes pursuant to subsection (b) of section [12-18b](#) of the Connecticut General Statutes. The distribution is based on numerous factors including, but not limited to, the value of state-owned property, private college and general hospitals, population, equalized net grand list and per capita income as set forth in [Sections 3-55i, j, and k](#) of the Connecticut General Statutes. Payment is made in three equal payments on January 1, April 1, and June 30th.

Since FY 2017, the grant has been a payment list in the budget bill rather than relying on application of a formula.

[CGS Section 3-55j](#) generally prohibits municipalities from receiving Mashantucket Pequot/Mohegan Fund grants if a public school or associated athletic team under its school board's jurisdiction uses Native American names, symbols, or images without tribal consent.

History regarding payments from the MPMF for 1994 – 2027 can be found at this link: [Mashantucket Pequot/Mohegan Fund Grant History | Connecticut Data](#)

For more on the history of the Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund, see attached *2019-R-0134 Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund Office of Legislative Research Report*.

4. Please provide a breakdown of all Other Expenses changes in the proposed budget.

OPM Response: Public Act 25-168, the enacted budget for the FY 2026 – FY 2027 biennium, appropriated \$3,305,422 to OPM for Other Expenses. The Governor’s FY 2027 proposed General Fund Other Expenses budget adjustments for OPM total \$1,008,213. The breakdown of proposed General Fund changes is as follows:

General Fund OE Changes:

Support IT contracts for STARS due to reduced bond fund authorizations. (STARS is the reporting tool for Core-CT, and OPM provides programming support to create agency dashboards, and to otherwise make Core-CT data available for review and analysis.)	\$ 600,000
Design & Development of an Affordable Health Insurance Coverage on the Access Health CT Exchange. Funding will support consultant costs to assess feasibility, and to design and develop program parameters for affordable publicly-designed and privately-administered health insurance coverage that we are calling the “Connecticut Option.”	\$1,000,000
Conduct Staffing Studies at DOC and DESPP	\$ 750,000
Reallocate functions from OHS to OPM. Funding for Health Information Alliance “Connie.” (See Exhibit 1 for detailed description)	\$1,157,213
Reallocate Legislatively Directed Funds (pursuant to Sec. 1 of P.A. 25-168) from OPM’s OE to a new standalone appropriation SID named “Various Municipal Grants” (see response to question #2)	(\$ 899,000)
Reallocate funding for Ledyard and Montville (pursuant to P.A. 25-174 Secs. 202 and 203) to the Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund	(\$1,600,000)
Total Proposed OPM General Fund Other Expenses Adjustments	\$1,008,213

In the Insurance Fund, proposed OE budget adjustments reflect realignment of operating costs associated with the proposed transfer of OHS functions to OPM. Please see attached Exhibit 1 for breakdown and detailed description of the changes in the Insurance fund. A summary is provided below:

Insurance Fund OE Changes:

Description	All Payer Claims Database (APCD)	Benchmark	Health Information Exchange (HIE)
Out-Of-State Travel	\$ 5,000		\$ 14,000
Mileage Reimbursement			\$ 1,000
Membership Dues	\$ 3,500		
Temporary Services			\$ 75,000
IT Consultant Services	\$ 142,000		\$ 310,000
IT Managed Services	\$ 50,000		
IT Data Services	\$ 870,000		
IT Software Licenses/Rental	\$ 2,000		\$ 2,000
General Office Supplies	\$ 525	\$ 525	\$ 525
Management Consultant Services			
Bailit		\$ 320,000	
Cedarbridge			\$ 200,000
Health Information Alliance "Connie"			\$ 6,800,921
Mathematica	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000	
Richard Gold			\$ 50,000
	\$ 1,273,025	\$ 520,525	\$ 7,453,446
Total Insurance Fund Changes			\$ 9,246,996

5. Please provide details regarding the Other Expenses appropriation.

OPM Response: See attached Exhibit 2 for a breakdown of OPM's OE in the General, Insurance and Public Utility Control funds.

**Exhibit 2: OPM Other Expenses - General Fund
Budget By Account Code**

ACCOUNT CODE	Description	Expenses	Details
50750	Employee Education & Training	\$ 26,083	Employee Education and Training costs including registration for staff development, required annual legal training and IT staff training
50790/50800	Travel & Mileage	\$ 24,306	Staff development travel and mileage expenses
51112	Arbitration/ Mediation Services	\$ 232,153	OLR Arbitrators
51180	Accounting/Auditing Services	\$ 40,000	Assist Office of Finance with accounting, consulting and auditing services for state single audits and municipal audits
51200	Employee Assistance Program	\$ 5,000	Employee Assistance Program
51220	Harzardous Waste Disposal Services	\$ 4,000	Infoshred (destruction of Computer Equipment etc.)
51510	Advertising and Marketing	\$ 13,350	Job placement ads in minority newspapers and other media; and RFP announcements
51620	Fee	\$ 54,750	Graduate Interns from UConn School of Public Policy
51672	Court Reporting Services	\$ 25,000	OLR transcriptions
51674	Online Information Services	\$ 424	CT Law Tribune
51675	Subscriptions	\$ 14,634	Cost of Living Index; Blue Chip Indicators, Wall Street Journal, Federal Funds Info for States, Network Solutions; Chronicle of Higher Education
51764	Regular Postage	\$ 5,015	Regular mails that need to be stamped and/or certified including: letters to towns or vendors, benefit letters to employees, etc.
51780	Membership Dues	\$ 54,419	Association of Violence Against Women Admin.; National Grants Management Association (NGMA); Justice Research and Statistics Association; National Criminal Justice Association (NCJA); National Consortium for Justice and Statistics; NASBO; Certified Human Resources Professionals (IPMA HR-CT Chapter); International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO); CT Association of Assessing Officers (CAAO); Planning; National States Geographic Information Council (NSGIC); American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA)
51800	Non-Employee Reimbursements	\$ 20,000	Mileage expenses for OLR Arbitrators & MARB members
51850	Records Destruction Services	\$ 1,075	Shredding services
51874	Printing & Binding	\$ 63,900	Printing services for: union contracts, budget books, printing of agency envelops and other miscellaneous items
51930	Service of Process	\$ 1,200	OLR State Marshalls for serving fees
53011	Vehicle Rental	\$ 6,120	Agency Vehicle
53012	Motor Vehicle Repair	\$ 500	Agency Vehicle
53020	Gasoline	\$ 800	Agency Vehicle
53401	Premises Repair/Maintenance	\$ 14,600	Includes HVAC, necessary building repairs, trash removal
53718	Software as a Service	\$ 279,737	Tyler Technologies - support for Open Data Portal
53721	Infrastructure as a Service	\$ 32,200	Cloud Data Usage services for Azure (web applications hosting); ABS System, Internal Phone Systems, Secure Data Enclave, IGPP Portal for programs including: Ad Vet, Renters' Rebate, LoCIP, M1, M13, M37 Pilot, M46 Distressed Municipalities, MM&E exemptions, Re-evaluations, Sales Ratio
53755	Software Licenses	\$ 21,500	Microsoft Windows server licenses
53760	Software System Maintenance & Support	\$ 57,000	Grantium - Criminal Justice division's Grants Management System
53820	Cellular Communications Services	\$ 36,797	Service mobile phones
53850	Telephone Repair & Maintenance	\$ 11,000	Avaya phone system annual maintenance and license; Rightfax services
53870	Local/Long Dist. Telecom. Services	\$ 11,500	Avaya monthly landline phone bills
53920	IT Supplies	\$ 21,500	Cables, USB drives, keyboard, mouse, etc.
54060	Office Supplies	\$ 29,520	General office supplies
54140	Medical Supplies	\$ 1,150	Defibillator replacement, etc.
54149	Non-Controllable SBITA	\$ 22,996	Software subscription based IT arrangement for over 1 year (non-assets): Everlaw, Adobe for Teams, Tableau, Constant Contact
54150/54151	Minor Equipment (under \$5,000)	\$ 10,000	Replacment desks, office chairs, etc.
54153	IT Hardware Controllable	\$ 21,596	periodic replacement of IT equipment including laptops, desktops, monitors
54190	Publications and Music	\$ 1,620	required HR posters; Office of Finance publications
55302	LT-Office Equip Lease/Rental	\$ 45,700	Copier/printer leases and postage machine
55901	Capitalized SBITA	\$ 195,277	Subscription based IT arrangement for 1 year or less (non-assets) - S&P Global /IHS subscription for Economic Data Forecasting, WestGroup/ Lexis Nexis for Legal Research, Govinvest Pension Software, ESRI ArcGIS online subscription
	Subtotal OPM Operating OE	\$ 1,406,422	

Legislatively Directed Grants	\$ 899,000	See responses to Question #2 for breakdown of legislatively directed funds
Sec. 203, PA 25-174	\$ 1,600,000	Tax Loss grants for Ledyard, Montville
Total OPM Other Expenses, FY2027	\$ 3,905,422	

FY2027 OPM OE Appropriation	\$ 3,305,422
Carryforward (Sec. 202, PA 25-174)	\$ 600,000
Total OPM FY2027 Appropriation	\$ 3,905,422

**Exhibit 2: OPM Other Expenses - Public Utility Control Fund
Budget By Account Code**

ACCOUNT CODE	Description	Expenses	Details
53721	Infrastructure as a Service	\$ 2,000	Cloud Data Usage services for Azure (Geospatial Information System (GIS) data mapping and processing)
	Total OPM PUC Fund, Other Expenses FY2027 Appropriation	\$ 2,000	

**Exhibit 2: OPM Other Expenses - Insurance Fund
Budget By Account Code**

ACCOUNT CODE	Description	Expenses	Details
50750	Employee Education and Training	\$ 1,800	
52000	Translation and Interpretation	\$ 2,400	Interpreter services for LTC
54060	General Office Supplies	\$ 1,112	
57164	Regular Postage	\$ 700	Postage fee for long term care mailings including surveys, consumer information packets on CT Partnership for LTC
	Subtotal OPM Insurance Fund, Other Expenses, FY2027 Appropriation	\$ 6,012	

Reallocation of Office of Health Strategy functions to better align coordination of health care	\$ 9,246,996	Reallocate operating functions for Health Information Exchange, All Payer Claims Database and Benchmarking from OHS to OPM (see breakdown in response to Question #1, Exhibit 1)
Total OPM Insurance Fund, Other Expenses, FY2027	\$ 9,253,008	

History of the Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund

By: Duke Chen, Principal Analyst
July 19, 2019 | 2019-R-0134

Issue

Provide an overview of the Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund, its legislative history, and the amounts municipalities have received from the fund for the last five fiscal years. This report updates OLR Report [2009-R-0387](#).

Summary

The Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund is a separate, nonlapsing fund whose revenue derives from casino gaming. Money from the fund is distributed to municipalities according to various statutory formulas or amounts and grant criteria, with certain grants subject to prorated reductions if inadequate funds are appropriated.

The basic distribution formulas and grant criteria were established in 1993 when the fund was created. Since 1993, the legislature has enacted additional or supplemental grants from the fund, which it has changed and extended over the years.

In the last five full fiscal years for which information is available (FYs 14 to 18), the fund distributed an average of \$60.16 million a year, which totaled approximately \$300.8 million.

Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund

By law, The Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund is a separate, nonlapsing fund whose revenue derives from tribal casino video facsimile revenue pursuant to a memorandum of understanding that each tribe has with the state ([CGS § 3-55i](#)).

Each year, the General Assembly must transfer from the General Fund to the Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund, an amount equal to the amount appropriated for grants to municipalities, for the Office of Policy and Management (OPM) to distribute ([CGS § 3-55j](#)).

The law specifies how the fund must annually distribute the money, but the following grant amounts are reduced proportionately if the total of the grants exceed the amount of funds available for such year. These grants are:

1. \$20 million to municipalities eligible for a state payment in lieu of taxes (PILOT) grant for state-owned real property and tribal reservation land, excluding property acquired for highways and bridges;
2. \$20.1 million according to the distribution formula for PILOT grants for real property owned by private nonprofit colleges and nonprofit general hospitals;
3. \$35 million according to a formula based on each municipality's (a) equalized net grand list, (b) per capita income in relation to other municipalities, and (c) population;
4. \$5.475 million distributed to 10 specific municipalities based on a formula;
5. \$44.2 million distributed to 28 specific municipalities listed in statute; and
6. \$47.5 million to increase the above grant amounts proportionately ([CGS § 3-55j](#)).

In addition to the grants listed above, the law provides additional annual payments of \$750,000 for certain municipalities (i.e., host municipalities) near the tribal casinos (i.e., impact grants) ([CGS § 3-55j](#)). The fund must also distribute \$1.6 million to municipalities that are members of the Southeastern Connecticut Council of Governments and to certain distressed municipalities ([CGS § 3-55m](#)). These payments are not subject to proportional reductions.

Legislative History

1993

PA 93-388 established the separate Mashantucket Pequot Fund and established distribution formulas for FY 94. (The formulas were made permanent the following year.) For FY 94, the act allocated all tribal gaming payments over \$28 million, up to \$135 million, to the fund. For FY 95, it allocated tribal gaming payments between \$50 million and \$135 million to the fund.

PA 93-388 established the following distribution formulas:

- \$20 million according to the formula for distributing PILOT grants for state-owned real property and tribal reservation land, excluding property acquired for highways and bridges. PA 93-485

later amended this formula to require that each town receive one-third of the difference between what it was eligible to receive as a state-owned real property PILOT in the appropriate fiscal year and what it would receive if that PILOT grant program had been funded at \$85,205,085. Each municipality had to receive a minimum grant of \$1,667 under this part of the formula. The grant from this part of the formula, when added to the grant a municipality received as a PILOT for its state-owned real property, could not exceed 100% of the property taxes the municipality would have received from such property, based on its assessment list for the year preceding the fiscal year in which the grants were payable.

- \$20,123,916 according to the distribution formula for PILOT grants for real property owned by private colleges and nonprofit general hospitals. These grants, when added to grants a municipality received as a PILOT for college and hospital property, could not exceed 100% of the property taxes the municipality would have received from such property based on its assessment list for the year preceding the fiscal year in which the grants were payable.
- \$35 million according to a formula based on each municipality's (1) equalized net grand list, (2) per capita income in relation to other municipalities, and (3) population. In 1993, this formula was used to distribute funds from the Local Property Tax Relief Trust Fund. The legislature eliminated that fund in 1997, but retained the statutory formula for purposes of distributing this part of the Mashantucket Pequot Fund ([CGS § 7-528](#)).
- \$5.475 million distributed to Bridgeport, Hamden, Hartford, Meriden, New Britain, New Haven, New London, Norwalk, Waterbury, and Windham according to the Local Property Tax Relief Trust Fund formula described above.

Regardless of the above-described distribution formulas, PA 93-388 also required that 28 specified municipalities receive annual grants from the Mashantucket Pequot Fund. There is no statutory formula for these grants, which total \$44,206,717 annually. Table 1 shows the grant amounts.

Table 1: Statutory Grants Payable Under CGS § 3-55j(g)

<i>Municipality</i>	<i>Grant</i>
Bloomfield	\$267,489
Bridgeport	10,506,506
Bristol	1,004,050
Chaplin	141,725
Danbury	1,612,564
Derby	432,162
East Hartford	522,421

<i>Municipality</i>	<i>Grant</i>
East Lyme	488,160
Groton	2,037,088
Hamden	1,592,270
Manchester	1,014,244
Meriden	1,537,900
Middletown	2,124,960
Milford	676,535
New Britain	3,897,434
New London	2,649,363
North Haven	268,582
Norwalk	1,451,367
Norwich	1,662,147
Preston	461,939
Rocky Hill	477,950
Stamford	1,570,767
Union	38,101
Voluntown	156,902
Waterbury	5,179,655
Wethersfield	371,629
Windham	1,307,974
Windsor Locks	754,833

PA 93-388 also provided an additional \$25,000 each to Ledyard, North Stonington, and Preston. These impact grants were initially payable only for FY 94 but were later made permanent. In subsequent years, the grants were also increased and expanded to cover additional municipalities.

1994

PA 94-1, May Special Session, made the distribution formulas in PA 93-388 permanent. It also changed the amount of tribal gaming revenue allocated to the fund to \$85 million per year.

1995

SA 95-12 increased the annual impact grants to Ledyard, North Stonington, and Preston to \$75,000 per year for FY 96 and FY 97. For both fiscal years, it also allocated \$84.755 million per year to the fund in tribal gaming payments.

1997

PA 97-11, June Special Session (JSS), increased the impact grants to Ledyard, North Stonington, and Preston to \$175,000 per year. It also added an annual \$150,000 grant to Montville.

PA 97-274 changed the fund's name to Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund. It also entitled special services districts to receive a portion of their municipality's payments from the fund if they (1) were created by town charter; (2) had their own governing bodies; and (3) for the assessment year beginning October 1, 1996, contained at least 50% of the value of the municipality's total property. Under the act, these special services districts had to receive a share of the Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund payment distributed according to the formula for PILOTs for state-owned real property.

1999

PA 99-1, JSS, increased the total Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan funds distributed by \$50 million per year, from \$85 million to \$135 million. Starting with FY 00, it required \$49.75 million of the additional amount to be distributed as an annual supplemental grant to municipalities in proportion to the amounts they received under the basic distribution formulas or, in the case of the 28 municipalities listed in Table 1, their statutory grant amount. The remaining \$250,000 was used to increase Ledyard's annual impact grant to \$450,000.

2002

PA 02-7, May 9 Special Session, reduced the annual supplemental grant to all municipalities from \$49.75 million to \$47.5 million, starting in FY 03. Also starting in FY 03, the act (1) increased the number of host municipalities receiving impact grants from four to five by adding Norwich and (2) increased all annual impact grant amounts to \$500,000.

Finally, the 2002 act specified that the supplemental grant had to be proportionately reduced if the total amount payable to municipalities exceeded the annual appropriation for the grants ([CGS § 3-55j\(k\)](#)).

2005

PA 05-3, JSS, made the following changes:

- For FY 06, it increased the impact grants to the five municipalities (Ledyard, Montville, North Stonington, Norwich, and Preston) from \$500,000 to \$750,000. Starting with FY 07, it eliminated the impact grants, but gave each of the five municipalities an annual grant of

\$750,000 and specified that the new grants could not be prorated for insufficient appropriations.

- For FY 07, it appropriated \$4.8 million from the FY 05 surplus for additional Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan grants. It distributed two-thirds of the additional funding (\$3.2 million) through the existing formulas and one-third (\$1.6 million) proportionately to (1) municipalities that were members of the Southeastern Connecticut Council of Governments and (2) distressed municipalities that were members of either the Northeastern Connecticut Council of Governments or the Windham Area Council of Governments. The affected municipalities were: Bozrah, Colchester, East Lyme, Franklin, Griswold, Groton, Killingly, Ledyard, Lisbon, Montville, New London, North Stonington, Norwich, Preston, Putnam, Salem, Sprague, Stonington, Voluntown, Waterford, and Windham.
- The act specified that these grants were (1) in addition to those the municipalities receive under the other parts of the formula and (2) not subject to the proportional reductions required for other supplemental grants when the annual state appropriation was not sufficient to fund the full amounts.

2006

PA 06-187 applied the FY 07 grants to the municipalities in the Southeastern Connecticut Council of Governments and the distressed municipalities in either the Northeastern Connecticut Council of Governments or the Windham Area Council of Governments to FY 08 and subsequent fiscal years.

2007

PA 07-1, JSS, allocated an additional \$1,665,665 annually for FY 08 and FY 09 to the (1) municipalities that were members of the Southeastern Connecticut Council of Governments and (2) distressed municipalities that were members of either the Northeastern Connecticut Council of Governments or the Windham Area Council of Governments. These funds were in addition to the \$1.6 million for the recipient municipalities described above. The act required the additional funds be distributed proportionately to each of the municipalities based on the total amounts they received from the fund for FY 07 and FY 08.

As with the other additional payments to these municipalities, the additional funds were not subject to proportional reductions when the annual state appropriation was not sufficient to fund the full amounts.

2014

PA 14-217, eliminated the \$135 million statutory General Fund transfer and instead, for each fiscal year beginning with FY 15, required the transfer to equal the appropriated amount.

Beginning with the close of FY 15 and at the close of each subsequent fiscal year, the act required the (1) OPM secretary to certify to the comptroller the amount withheld from grants to a municipality and (2) comptroller to deposit the withholding into the General Fund. By law, the OPM secretary can withhold up to \$4,000 a year from each municipality that fails to send the state the portions of fees the municipality collects from each applicant for a planning, wetlands and watercourse, and coastal permit ([CGS § 22a-27j](#)).

2015

PA 15-244, eliminated the annual allocation to municipalities based on two statutory formulas linked to the state's PILOT distributions (see PA 93-388 above). The act instead set each municipality's distribution of the two pools of funds equal to the amount they received in total fund distributions in FY 15.

Under prior law, the Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund grants from these two formulas, when added to the grants municipalities received from the respective PILOT programs, could not exceed 100% of the property taxes the municipalities would have received from such property based on the grand lists for the fiscal year preceding the year in which the grants were payable. The act instead provided that the grants, when added to the newly consolidated PILOT grant, could not exceed such thresholds.

Fund Payments for the Last Five Fiscal Years

Table 2 below provides the total amounts the Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund has provided to each municipality in the last five full fiscal years for which the information is available (FYs 14-18).

Table 2: Total Mashantucket Pequot and Mohegan Fund Payments

<i>Municipality</i>	<i>FY 2014</i>	<i>FY 2015</i>	<i>FY 2016</i>	<i>FY 2017</i>	<i>FY 2018</i>	<i>Total</i>
Andover	\$14,230	\$15,990	\$16,302	\$14,975	\$14,975	\$76,472
Ansonia	162,988	168,557	174,864	160,809	160,809	828,027
Ashford	23,610	24,198	25,506	23,966	23,221	120,500

Municipality	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Total
Avon	14,907	15,844	19,504	19,195	18,973	88,422
Barkhamsted	14,403	16,417	17,958	16,970	16,480	82,228
Beacon Falls	25,538	25,604	26,187	28,910	28,405	134,643
Berlin	48,353	52,750	47,285	43,425	43,425	235,238
Bethany	17,533	19,171	15,609	15,929	15,440	83,682
Bethel	46,704	51,561	53,032	48,842	48,774	248,913
Bethlehem	12,917	15,431	12,293	13,617	13,341	67,600
Bloomfield	156,846	157,761	159,786	150,544	149,114	774,051
Bolton	17,835	20,238	17,277	16,362	16,279	87,991
Bozrah	15,481	17,044	16,815	16,305	16,045	81,690
Branford	57,720	57,869	56,504	53,780	53,780	279,654
Bridgeport	6,156,637	6,192,581	6,272,110	5,913,094	5,856,925	30,391,46
Bridgewater	6,770	8,688	8,724	8,251	8,143	40,576
Bristol	588,739	592,174	599,774	565,082	559,715	2,905,483
Brookfield	22,495	24,761	22,995	21,694	21,694	113,639
Brooklyn	245,187	225,240	228,534	212,937	212,937	1,124,835
Burlington	15,893	18,866	21,690	22,422	22,355	101,226
Canaan	7,197	9,493	10,062	9,659	9,348	45,759
Canterbury	33,623	37,279	32,095	29,519	28,601	161,117
Canton	22,155	24,270	21,455	20,081	20,081	108,042
Chaplin	80,102	81,587	83,660	79,763	79,006	404,119
Cheshire	1,984,705	2,154,316	2,186,494	2,061,556	2,039,432	10,426,503
Chester	11,782	14,917	15,064	14,643	14,638	71,044
Clinton	36,791	38,993	32,440	30,971	30,336	169,531

Municipality	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Total
Colchester	67,828	71,476	68,600	65,420	65,420	338,743
Colebrook	8,400	10,461	10,461	9,838	9,838	48,999
Columbia	19,167	21,149	20,482	19,761	19,213	99,773
Cornwall	6,467	8,442	8,680	8,178	8,114	39,881
Coventry	47,324	48,216	47,641	44,362	44,362	231,905
Cromwell	40,710	44,990	39,080	35,310	35,310	195,400
Danbury	945,549	951,066	963,273	907,556	898,935	4,666,379
Darien	7,521	9,582	9,708	9,241	9,024	45,076
Deep River	11,629	12,373	14,288	17,346	16,522	72,158
Derby	251,404	253,883	258,154	243,222	240,912	1,247,575
Durham	21,968	23,579	22,264	20,996	20,345	109,152
East Granby	15,693	17,361	16,505	15,434	14,706	79,700
East Haddam	24,978	28,779	24,875	27,015	27,015	132,663
East Hampton	59,349	61,488	44,261	41,883	40,629	247,611
East Hartford	306,329	308,116	312,071	294,020	291,227	1,511,763
East Haven	165,781	161,177	174,289	170,049	158,456	829,752
East Lyme	329,119	333,126	338,385	320,180	320,180	1,640,990
East Windsor	43,507	48,442	49,781	45,593	45,500	232,823
Eastford	10,099	14,309	11,291	11,911	11,911	59,521
Easton	8,568	10,636	11,278	10,545	10,434	51,461
Ellington	52,634	54,191	49,491	44,853	44,853	246,021
Enfield	1,322,295	1,449,946	1,442,775	1,359,849	1,342,216	6,917,081
Essex	11,463	13,555	13,224	12,520	12,209	62,971
Fairfield	285,875	290,353	294,950	277,695	276,419	1,425,291

Municipality	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Total
Farmington	30,763	31,383	31,572	29,796	29,796	153,310
Franklin	12,744	15,254	15,105	15,379	14,960	73,442
Glastonbury	38,732	40,105	46,072	41,629	40,754	207,292
Goshen	8,456	10,588	11,153	10,521	10,357	51,076
Granby	26,770	28,113	25,939	23,972	23,972	128,766
Greenwich	97,989	98,189	99,288	93,313	92,423	481,202
Griswold	101,751	103,449	94,046	86,837	86,837	472,920
Groton	1,373,412	1,390,133	1,412,077	1,336,108	1,336,108	6,847,838
Guilford	29,116	31,929	27,392	26,076	25,668	140,180
Haddam	20,344	23,384	25,437	23,844	22,842	115,850
Hamden	933,650	939,097	951,150	896,135	887,622	4,607,654
Hampton	12,717	14,750	14,446	13,963	13,774	69,650
Hartford	6,664,829	6,652,860	6,627,346	6,263,314	6,263,314	32,471,662
Hartland	12,304	12,886	12,851	12,191	12,191	62,424
Harwinton	16,721	15,719	19,927	18,629	18,235	89,231
Hebron	27,206	30,564	28,744	28,438	28,438	143,390
Kent	7,817	10,105	9,953	9,077	8,957	45,910
Killingly	150,886	158,610	151,533	145,447	139,384	745,860
Killingworth	16,757	18,280	16,778	15,190	15,190	82,195
Lebanon	30,160	36,533	35,167	33,075	32,377	167,313
Ledyard	940,254	949,184	936,306	878,678	878,678	4,583,100
Lisbon	28,967	29,329	24,388	23,657	22,716	129,058
Litchfield	20,483	21,685	19,724	18,270	17,970	98,132
Lyme	6,940	9,113	8,874	8,343	8,286	41,556

Municipality	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Total
Madison	15,247	20,366	18,844	19,466	19,020	92,943
Manchester	594,716	598,186	605,863	570,820	565,397	2,934,983
Mansfield	205,985	205,662	241,157	204,996	204,996	1,062,796
Marlborough	15,617	18,229	20,104	18,541	18,541	91,032
Meriden	900,769	907,031	918,672	865,535	857,313	4,449,319
Middlebury	16,449	18,094	16,877	15,721	15,721	82,863
Middlefield	18,712	21,025	19,111	17,336	17,261	93,445
Middletown	1,246,000	1,253,270	1,269,355	1,195,934	1,184,574	6,149,132
Milford	394,696	399,010	404,131	380,756	377,139	1,955,732
Monroe	32,426	35,497	34,506	33,321	33,321	169,071
Montville	1,486,051	1,068,665	1,019,799	952,470	952,470	5,479,455
Morris	9,386	11,262	11,694	11,054	11,054	54,449
Naugatuck	210,746	218,529	245,012	230,356	230,356	1,134,999
New Britain	2,282,315	2,296,649	2,324,150	2,193,488	2,172,652	11,269,254
New Canaan	4,292	5,293	8,510	8,954	8,816	35,865
New Fairfield	22,908	26,468	31,812	29,123	29,123	139,433
New Hartford	20,324	20,796	19,083	19,069	18,753	98,025
New Haven	7,417,028	6,537,304	6,224,317	5,794,422	5,753,352	31,726,423
New London	1,786,210	1,807,956	1,836,497	1,737,694	1,737,694	8,906,051
New Milford	85,724	84,077	82,307	76,718	74,366	403,193
Newington	275,049	254,786	267,867	248,178	245,693	1,291,573
Newtown	796,498	952,649	967,137	911,124	903,200	4,530,608
Norfolk	11,993	14,208	14,285	13,317	13,256	67,060
North Branford	43,533	44,449	44,596	42,037	40,346	214,961

Municipality	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Total
North Canaan	27,007	24,740	20,910	21,925	20,843	115,424
North Haven	157,487	158,406	160,439	151,159	149,723	777,213
North Stonington	885,206	893,855	893,244	841,889	841,889	4,356,084
Norwalk	847,029	853,995	866,981	816,834	809,075	4,193,914
Norwich	1,982,596	2,001,692	2,023,985	1,912,306	1,912,306	9,832,884
Old Lyme	13,598	15,319	15,371	14,487	14,374	73,150
Old Saybrook	15,545	18,009	15,765	14,601	14,310	78,230
Orange	38,711	45,247	42,926	43,490	43,141	213,515
Oxford	30,442	34,509	27,040	25,388	25,388	142,767
Plainfield	159,114	181,340	166,094	122,528	121,937	751,013
Plainville	84,669	85,023	80,726	72,491	72,491	395,400
Plymouth	73,672	71,534	71,640	69,784	65,316	351,946
Pomfret	20,304	22,648	23,025	19,468	19,468	104,913
Portland	32,583	33,088	29,628	27,715	27,715	150,729
Preston	1,173,412	1,183,656	1,192,160	1,125,119	1,125,119	5,799,465
Prospect	30,489	35,247	25,238	26,955	26,678	144,608
Putnam	110,011	115,285	109,730	103,555	100,687	539,268
Redding	9,433	11,694	11,645	10,912	10,912	54,595
Ridgefield	14,278	16,612	15,718	14,143	14,143	74,895
Rocky Hill	276,253	277,888	281,506	268,992	266,437	1,371,075
Roxbury	6,298	8,370	8,538	8,056	7,982	39,244
Salem	17,051	21,968	19,852	18,219	18,219	95,309
Salisbury	3,397	8,433	9,655	9,066	8,929	39,480
Scotland	12,677	13,604	13,093	15,714	15,714	70,802

Municipality	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Total
Seymour	74,403	74,393	70,475	67,844	67,640	354,755
Sharon	6,782	8,891	9,736	9,111	9,111	43,631
Shelton	77,086	80,088	81,312	74,849	74,849	388,184
Sherman	8,184	10,408	10,481	9,925	9,772	48,770
Simsbury	31,434	33,613	32,798	28,478	28,478	154,801
Somers	1,559,239	1,699,850	1,710,137	1,609,537	1,594,267	8,173,030
South Windsor	56,236	60,215	59,843	54,351	54,351	284,997
Southbury	36,930	42,711	42,073	37,696	37,443	196,853
Southington	144,792	150,250	136,778	126,114	122,491	680,424
Sprague	30,899	31,144	24,508	26,245	25,323	138,119
Stafford	98,523	98,483	100,965	93,160	92,112	483,243
Stamford	920,041	925,415	938,305	884,033	875,635	4,543,429
Sterling	33,461	35,406	36,354	33,410	33,410	172,041
Stonington	36,076	40,283	35,302	33,057	31,251	175,969
Stratford	163,807	168,339	170,682	160,760	160,760	824,348
Suffield	2,675,180	2,976,971	3,003,392	2,830,235	2,802,224	14,288,002
Thomaston	42,299	41,317	40,237	40,123	37,095	201,071
Thompson	69,723	70,913	68,444	63,550	62,808	335,439
Tolland	42,069	43,715	37,585	34,843	34,843	193,055
Torrington	262,158	257,271	293,101	287,599	287,599	1,387,728
Trumbull	47,763	53,557	53,005	49,941	49,633	253,899
Union	20,341	22,471	22,760	21,443	21,240	108,255
Vernon	177,261	176,683	173,419	158,914	156,412	842,689
Voluntown	105,784	92,538	93,726	88,305	87,466	467,819

<i>Municipality</i>	<i>FY 2014</i>	<i>FY 2015</i>	<i>FY 2016</i>	<i>FY 2017</i>	<i>FY 2018</i>	<i>Total</i>
Wallingford	173,548	171,355	162,844	156,083	151,703	815,533
Warren	6,585	8,782	7,784	8,203	8,125	39,479
Washington	6,331	9,438	9,302	8,741	8,526	42,339
Waterbury	3,036,163	3,053,884	3,093,091	2,915,126	2,887,435	14,985,698
Waterford	46,897	51,184	46,103	42,601	42,167	228,951
Watertown	82,610	85,337	79,387	75,186	69,660	392,180
West Hartford	242,387	220,032	210,497	197,475	194,502	1,064,893
West Haven	1,005,243	981,721	1,013,690	969,932	951,618	4,922,204
Westbrook	14,942	18,947	18,216	16,186	16,186	84,477
Weston	7,239	9,369	9,496	9,059	8,893	44,055
Westport	26,668	27,989	28,452	26,621	26,431	136,161
Wethersfield	217,910	219,181	221,994	209,154	207,167	1,075,406
Willington	25,917	28,762	34,773	33,250	33,019	155,720
Wilton	8,013	11,265	11,944	10,862	10,862	52,946
Winchester	68,704	73,635	81,005	78,447	78,242	380,033
Windham	881,841	892,577	906,667	857,889	857,889	4,396,862
Windsor	109,863	108,632	75,355	71,844	68,446	434,140
Windsor Locks	442,607	444,189	450,903	424,822	420,787	2,183,308
Wolcott	61,320	70,260	62,773	61,364	60,939	316,655
Woodbridge	11,276	13,164	11,740	11,188	11,091	58,459
Woodbury	13,369	19,476	21,861	19,685	19,685	94,076
Woodstock	32,500	33,642	28,928	26,183	26,183	147,435
FY Totals	\$61,670,907	\$61,698,907	\$61,687,907	\$58,076,610	\$57,649,850	\$300,784,181

*Source: Office of Fiscal Analysis

DC:kl